

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills T.E.A. Party News

At present we are not holding Saturday meetings. We may have Special Meetings when we have Special Guests, which will be publicly announced in the paper, email, word of mouth. Check for updates at flintheillsteaparty.com. We are now posting news items, events & the newsletters, both recent & archived, at our website. Weekly meetings are at McAlister's Deli, 5:30/6-10pm on Wed. evenings. They are come-&-go, at your convenience. Bring your concerns for discussion. Your concerns are important – they are why we exist. In this publication, **green** is me (Sylda). Other colors are just to catch your eye. Font-changes are to indicate a new subject, author, or speaker. Help us include YOU!! YOU are IMPORTANT!! **GOD be with you now and always.**

I received this from John D'Aloia and could NOT resist commenting --

"It was bad enough that pretty much within seconds of Barack Obama winning the presidency there seemed to be a rush to [rename schools to honor the newly elected first black president](#). According to Wikipedia, [eighteen schools in fourteen states have so far been named or renamed in honor of the 44th president](#). According to another Wikipedia article (which is incomplete and out-of-date) Obama [already has enough schools named after him](#) to rival John F. Kennedy, Abraham Lincoln, and Thomas Jefferson. The latest school to jump on the Obama bandwagon is the J.E.B. Stuart Elementary School in Richmond, Virginia. This week, the school board [voted 6-1 to rename the predominantly African-American school named after a Confederate general](#) 'Barack Obama Elementary School'."

—JDA Ref: <https://pjmedia.com/trending/open-letter-to-school-boards-everywhere-stop-renaming-your-schools-after-obama/>

It's scary to name a school for a President who worked so hard to reduce America from the leading country in the world to a Sharia-compliant Islamic also-ran. It reveals our administrators, teachers, & board members are in line with American downfall. How do we get them back to teaching the basic needs so their graduates can once again step up and guide us in the correct direction?

I just went in to a dear friends Facebook post and was slightly surprised, thrilled and proud to find this:

Kathy Shawver

I offer no apology for what I am posting, for this is truly how I feel. This is my opinion, not a debate. If you disagree, or find my position offensive, I'm perfectly fine with that & no, I'm not offended, feel free to unfriend me forthwith.

I have lived through several United States Presidents prior to our current President Trump. In my lifetime, I have never seen nor heard of a President scrutinized over every word he speaks, humiliated by the public to the point of disgrace, slandered, ridiculed, insulted, lied to & beyond common logic, threatened with death, threatened to rape our beautiful First Lady, our First Lady disrespected, & have his children also insulted & humiliated.

I am truly ashamed of the people of MY country. I am ashamed of the ruthless, hating, cruel, Trump haters who display themselves as to having no pride, morals, ethics nor values in Our Country's traditions. Our Elders taught us 30, 40+ yrs ago to respect our President, whether we voted for them or not, & all these news stations & reporters who feel they have the right to carry on with blatant lies & say the things they do for a good story. Every other President before he was elected, that took the oath of office, was left alone, wasn't on the news 24/7, scrutinized by their every word. ALWAYS BEING PRESSURED to do this or that & never given the time to take care of the important work this country really needs. I know he is not perfect, very different than what we've become accustomed to **but ENOUGH is ENOUGH, LEAVE THE MAN ALONE & LET HIM DO HIS JOB!!**

None of the other Presidents in their times were spotless or perfect either. I want our President Donald Trump to succeed & try to make our America great again!

If you agree, please copy & paste this to your timeline! **#TEAMUSA**

COPY AND PASTE IF YOU'RE SICK OF IT TOO.

Yes, I am a Trump supporter. I always have been... ALWAYS WILL BE!!!

My response:

WOW!!! GREAT!!! Too bad others who feel this way don't voice their opinions instead of hiding OUT AND REMAINING SILENT. We must stand up to those striving to destroy our President and the USA!! Stand up BESIDE him not hide behind him. We need to show those cowardly, lying, liberals how to run a country in the name of the LORD. PRESIDENT TRUMP was elected by the people, for the people, and will save our Country and most of the World for the same people!!! I want to **THANK Kathy** and everyone who stands with our President in trying to improve the USA and the World.

GOD BLESS PRESIDENT TRUMP, HIS SUPPORTERS & THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA!!!



TRUMP DEMANDS IMMEDIATE RETURN OF UNDOCUMENTED IMMIGRANTS:

'NO JUDGES OR COURT CASES | by JACK DAVIS 6/24/18 at 11:12am

Rep. Michael McCaul said Sunday that Trump was "100-percent" behind the moderate bill he will propose, [Politico](#) reported.

The Texas Republican said he conferred with the White House on Saturday about the bill.

"I do think there are 218 Republicans that agree with the four pillars of border security," McCaul said.

The bill would provide a path to citizenship for the children of illegal immigrants, end family separation, limit immigration and fund a border wall. He said he was optimistic about the bill's prospects Sunday.

Received from John D'Aloia:

https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/a-ticking-time-bomb-ms-13-threatens-a-middle-school-warn-teachers-parents-students/2018/06/11/7cfc7036-5a00-11e8-858f-12becb4d6067_story.html (Gov't School Swamp)

"Gang-related fights are now a near-daily occurrence at Wirt, where a small group of suspected MS-13 members at the overwhelmingly Hispanic school in Prince George's County throw gang signs, sell drugs, draw gang graffiti and aggressively recruit students recently arrived from Central America, according to more than two dozen teachers, parents and students. Most of those interviewed asked not to be identified for fear of losing their jobs or being targeted by MS-13. Although administrators deny Wirt has a gang problem, the situation inside the aging, overcrowded building has left some teachers so afraid that they refuse to be alone with their students. Many said they had repeatedly reported incidents involving suspected gang members to administrators, only to be ignored — claims supported by documents obtained by The Washington Post."

<https://www.oftwominds.com/blogjun18/dear-grads6-18.html> "Here's the summary: the status quo is pressuring you to accept its "solutions": borrow mega-bucks to attend college, then buy a decaying bungalow or hastily constructed stucco box for \$800,000 in a "desirable" city, pay sky-high income and property taxes on your earnings, and when the stress of all these crushing financial burdens ruins your health, well, we've got meds to "help" you--lots of meds at insane price points paid for by insurance-- if you have "real" insurance without high deductibles, of course. **Here's the truth the status quo marketers don't dare acknowledge: every one of these conventional "solutions" only makes the problem worse.** Student loan debt only makes your life harder, not easier, as the claimed "value" of a college degree is based on the distant past, not the present. The economy is changing fast and the conventional "solutions" no longer match the new realities. But don't expect anyone profiting from the predatory profiteering higher-education cartel to admit this. **The high cost of housing isn't "solved" by buying in at the top of an unprecedented bubble.** Buying into bubbles only makes the problem worse, for all bubbles eventually pop. **The "solution" to crushing levels of debt is not to borrow more just to prop up a rotten, corrupt, dysfunctional and self-serving status quo.** In effect, the young generations are being groomed to be the hosts for the parasitic classes that feed on young taxpayers, student loan debt-serfs, young buyers of bubble-priced housing, unaffordable sick care "insurance" and all the rest of the status quo "solutions."

FAKE NEWS TERMS PATRIOTS AS 'RIGHT-WING' AND ANTIFA AS 'PROTESTERS'

MICHAEL SAVAGE NEWSLETTER | July 1, 2018

This weekend protests turned violent in Portland as Antifa agitators targeted the Patriot Prayer group. As the crowd began their scheduled march, these extremists began throwing objects from eggs to firecrackers at the conservative demonstrators.

The tense environment in the city exploded as the Antifa thugs attacked, but as expected, the liberal media quickly manipulated the narrative, labeling Antifa as 'protesters' and attempting to malign the Patriot Prayer group as 'right-wing'.

These so-called innocent protesters came with the intention to cause violence as The Oregonian reported that police

confiscated weapons such as utility knives, clubs and chemical sprays earlier in the day.

Now, imagine if the patriot group had arrived with the same weapons, how would have the left-wing media reported the event then? Headlines would have saturated every outlet from CNN to The New York Times underscoring the violent, radical nature of the conservatives.

Although it may seem like a simple use of words, but as we have increasingly learned, liberals use headlines and images to dupe the public at large. As their stronghold of the media intensifies, the need for alternative news sources and independent voices is all the more evident. We must not allow the left to control and exploit the news or we could lose the nation completely.

WOW!! Conservatives must be very careful or they will make us the BAD GUYS. We must work hard and honestly to continue being the "honest" ones. The Liberals will continue to make every effort to make the Conservatives appear to be the "BAD" guys. Be careful to continue to be the "GOOD" guys – the ones who do NOT come with weapons. GOD be with us!!

KOBACH: THE MASK COMES OFF THE OPEN BORDERS MOVEMENT

Over the past weekend, open borders activists held rallies around the country to protest the enforcement of our nation's immigration laws and call for the end of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Protesters **carried signs saying "Abolish ICE"**.

Quick to ride this wave of left-wing fervor, a bevy of Democratic politicians joined the call. New York's U.S. Senator Kirsten Gillibrand **said** of ICE, "[Y]ou should get rid of it. Start over." But it sounds as if whatever she would replace it with would only focus on criminal justice issues, and would not be "a deportation force."

Cynthia Nixon, actress and Democratic candidate for governor of New York **declared**, "I think we need to abolish ICE," calling it a "terrorist organization." And these Democrats really mean it. Democratic Congressman Mark Pocan of Wisconsin **announced** that he would be introducing a bill in Congress to abolish ICE.

Even Bernie Sanders is now being **criticized** for not being far enough to the left. His statements that ICE should be reformed, rather than completely abolished, are not good enough for the Democrat vanguard.

For many years, we who have fought for stronger enforcement of our immigration laws have criticized the so-called "open borders movement." The expression was a useful shorthand phrase to describe those who favor a lax-enforcement, pro-amnesty position. It was an exaggeration to make a point. Now we find out that it wasn't an exaggeration at all. They really do want open borders.

Without ICE enforcing our immigration laws and deporting illegal aliens, the rule of law collapses entirely. Abolishing ICE would send a loud and clear invitation to the world: come on in. You won't be deported. We don't care whether you have anything to contribute to the United States. Nor do we care how much risk you pose to our citizens' safety or their job security. Nor do we mind providing costly public benefits to you, courtesy of the American taxpayer.

Many of these Democrats calling for the abolition of ICE don't realize just how crazy they sound. And they apparently have no idea that the vast majority of Americans would like the United States to remain a sovereign nation that has the power to decide who is, and who is not, permitted to enter the country.

There was a similar moment in 2006 when the open borders movement let its guard down and gave us a glimpse of what they really are all about. Hundreds of thousands of protesters marched in opposition to immigration enforcement in Phoenix, Los Angeles, and Denver, **carrying** Mexican flags. Protest organizers realized that the Mexican flags were turning off the broader public. So they instructed the protesters to carry American flags.

But we briefly saw what was animating their movement — a disdain for the United States and a preference for another country.

Now too, the open borders movement has taken off the mask. We see what their ultimate objective is — an end to deportations, and an end to immigration enforcement.

It's not a pretty picture. But at least American voters are getting to see it before they go to the polls this Fall.

Kris W. Kobach is the elected Secretary of State of Kansas. An expert in immigration law and policy, he coauthored the Arizona SB-1070 immigration law and represented in federal court the ten ICE agents who sued to stop Obama's 2012 DACA executive amnesty. He is currently a candidate for governor in Kansas. His website is kriskobach.com.

In my opinion the only way to get America back under control is to have full and complete control of the borders. In addition to controlling who is coming into our Country, we need to start weeding out those who are here illegally at this time. IF an illegal is behaving as we would want by being an honest, hardworking, law abiding citizen help them become a legal citizen. If the immigrants are being "good" citizens and following the law they can become citizens or IF they do NOT want to become citizens they can go back where they came

from. That is my feeling – if your feelings are different let me know your solution to the illegal immigrant problem. Contact me!!

HOW TRUMP BEAT OBAMA ON VIOLENT CRIME by Ngnadmin on July 2, 2018

While Trump is taking a beating from the media for departing from Obama's legacy and actually doing the President's job, an interesting FBI report has been released. We have new data on crime rates for 2017, and they have a lot to say.

We always have to start with the caveats. We only have numbers for Trump's first year, and those numbers are still preliminary. The finalized numbers are typically available in September, so some of this could be revised. That said, what we know so far is mostly good news. Overall crime rate fell in Trump's first year in office –reversing a two-year trend set in place by Obama.

The overall crime rate dropped by just shy of 1 percent. That's not record breaking, but considering that it's a trend reversal, it's a good sign. Individual categories are more encouraging. Burglary fell by over 6 percent, and rape and aggravated assault both dropped by more than 2 percent.

On the reverse side, murder increased by 1.5 percent, and car theft rose by 4.1 percent. Those are the only two crime categories that ended higher than when Trump took office.

There are a few likely reasons for these changes. Most will suspect that the improving economy has reduced the need for crime. Simply put, Americans are in a better position and crimes of desperation are in decline.

The rising murder rate, though, merits scrutiny. Murder rose by much less this year than in the previous two, again suggesting a trend reversal, but it still hasn't completely turned around. There are a lot of possible reasons why murder might still be on the rise, but the most likely is tied to changing police policies in urban areas.

This boils down to the fact that 50 percent of murders happen in about 60 counties. You can guess which counties they are. While murder rate rose in areas like Chicago and Baltimore, most of the country saw a decline in murder over the last year. Do we really need to say any more?

When he first took office, Obama inherited a nation that had seen steadily decreasing crime for roughly 15 years. It took him six years to "fix" that problem. Over his full eight years, the reducing crime rates slowly reversed, and in the last two, the nation fully erased any gains. Obama left office with approximately the same crime rates as when he entered.

That sounds like a wash, but it's a pretty big negative. He managed to eliminate six years of progress in just two. That was only possible because 2015 and 2016 set consecutive records for increases in violent crime. To find a worse year than either of them, you have to go back to 1991.

The bigger problem is that these changes can be directly tied to Obama's leadership. When the economy was at its absolute worst, crime rates still fell, so you can't blame this on economic hardships. There is something that does correlate perfectly. The Black Lives Matter movement gained national attention in 2015. While that alone doesn't prove that the rhetoric is responsible, it's easy to see how police in Baltimore and similar areas felt unable to do their jobs. Violence rose as a result.

It took Obama six full years to completely kill the trend of declining violence. That shows that Americans have a propensity for improvement. It was only sustained and exceptionally poor leadership that was able to make a change. There is no question that Obama's race baiting and demonization of police greatly contributed to the problem. His refusal to police the borders also helped more Americans die.

Trump found an easy solution: support the police and let them do their job. That alone was enough to rapidly overturn a growing and ominous problem. Perhaps more importantly, Trump is finally fixing the problem at the border. Considering the ridiculous representation of illegal immigrants in violent crime statistics, border security is easily one of the most efficient ways to stem violent crime.

It didn't take a specialist or expert to fix the crime problem. It just took a little honesty. Thank you President Trump!

~ National Gun Network



Two hundred and forty-two years ago, our Founders assembled to declare our independence. They pledged their lives, fortunes, and sacred honor to establish freedom for generations to come. These brave men stood for everlasting principles from God, the Giver of life, as they declared on that day so long ago:

"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness."

We wish you and your loved ones a blessed Independence Day and we ask for your continued support as we work together to protect the right to LIFE of the most vulnerable and defenseless among us.

-- All of us at Kansans for Life

Sylda,
242 years ago, our forefathers met in Philadelphia and pledged their lives, fortunes, and sacred honor to bring about the birth of a new nation. A new nation based on the principle of freedom.

But, because of their sacrifice, our republic was born. And for that we are to be eternally grateful.

Sylda, it's been my distinct pleasure to stand by your side and fight for freedom. I know you and 5.7 million other patriots make sacrifices every day to protect our republic.

And for that I just wanted to say thank you. God bless you! It's because of you that we still have our country and Constitution. And because of that I know we will **MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN!**

But for now, please be sure to take a moment today to relax and celebrate the 4th of July with your family and friends.

Enjoy the freedoms we have as Americans. And enjoy living in the greatest country on God's green Earth, America.

Happy Independence Day,
Adam Brandon [@adam_brandon](#)
President, FreedomWorks

I know you are not receiving these on Independence Day. I am putting it here on July 4, 2018. These are just from the sites I receive articles daily. You are all in my prayers to have had a great, safe Independence Day and GOD BLESS AMERICA now & always.



JOB GROWTH BOOMS IN JUNE, UNEMPLOYMENT AT 4 PERCENT BY THE WESTERN MOURNAT --- 7-6-18 AT 5:31 AM

U.S. employers kept up a brisk hiring pace in June by adding 213,000 jobs in a sign of confidence despite the start of a trade war with China.

The Labor Department said Friday that the unemployment rate rose to 4.0 percent from 3.8 percent as more people began looking for work and not all of them found it.

On the same day that the Trump administration began imposing tariffs on \$34 billion in Chinese imports and China retaliated with their own tariffs, the job gain showed that the 9-year old U.S. economic expansion — the second-longest on record — remains on solid ground.

Average hourly pay rose just 2.7 percent from a year earlier. The low jobless rate has yet to force employers to offer higher wages in order to fill job openings.

The broader U.S. economy appears to be on sturdy ground. Economists are forecasting that economic growth accelerated to an annual pace of roughly 4 percent during the April-June quarter, about double the previous quarter's pace.

Conservative Zone --- Breaking News

MEDIA FAIL: TRUMP'S APPROVAL IMPROVED DURING BORDER "OUTRAGE"

While the mainstream media plastered photos of crying children on every screen they could, President Donald Trump's approval rating continued to climb.

Despite the outcry over the Trump administration's continuation of the Obama era policy of separating parents who break the law from children when they enter the country illegally, the President's approval has gone up 5 points. This news comes from Real Clear Politics and shows an increase in his approval rating since early June.

Despite intensive efforts by liberal lawmakers, celebrities, journalists and activist groups, the president is enjoying an increased level of approval at the very heart of what some were terming a "scandal" or Trump's Katrina. Instead of being harmed by the mainstream media and their cohorts, the president actually benefited from the extra publicity.

No group saw greater growth in support and approval for the president than Hispanic Americans. Those who went through the legal immigration process and those who are US citizens saw the greatest approval increase during the firestorm of coverage calling out the administration towards their continuation and enforcement of the Obama policies.

The policy of housing unaccompanied minors and those separated from parents who were detained for illegal activity dates back several years. 15 years ago, the Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) became a part of the Department of health and Human Services. Once children arrive alone or are separated from parents, they need care, shelter, food and education. These needs are met at a variety of locations, and have been in place for years.

Despite the outcry over the Trump administration's continuation of the Obama era policy of separating parents who break the law from children when they enter the country illegally, the President's approval has gone up 5 points. This news comes from Real Clear Politics and shows an increase in his approval rating since early June.

Despite intensive efforts by liberal lawmakers, celebrities, journalists and activist groups, the president is enjoying an increased level of approval at the very heart of what some were terming a "scandal" or Trump's Katrina. Instead of being harmed by the mainstream media and their cohorts, the president actually benefited from the extra publicity.

No group saw greater growth in support and approval for the president than Hispanic Americans. Those who went through the legal immigration process and those who are US citizens saw the greatest approval increase during the firestorm of coverage calling out the administration towards their continuation and enforcement of the Obama policies.

The policy of housing unaccompanied minors and those separated from parents who were detained for illegal activity dates back several years. 15 years ago, the Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) became a part of the Department of health and Human Services. Once children arrive alone or are separated from parents, they need care, shelter, food and education. These needs are met at a variety of locations, and have been in place for years.

The recent outcry references the decision by the Trump administration to enforce laws; at the border, parents are often given the choice of returning home and not entering the country illegally or being processed separately from their children and reunited once their legal issues are addressed. Since some entering the country have criminal issues, adults and children have been separated to ensure safety; this process began under the Obama administration and continues to day.

Earlier this month, after the propaganda-based outcry over both authentic and manufactured images, the Trump administration took steps to expedite the processing of parents and reunite them more swiftly with their children. While this step helps the kids, who enter with their parents, it does not change the circumstances for those who entered the country alone and who have no family. These children still require care, food and shelter, but were often pictured in media campaigns as being "separated" from their families, despite arriving in the US alone and unaccompanied.

Much of the overhyped media focus has been on what was later confirmed to be hoax images, or images of children taken before President Trump was in office. For those viewing the news and expecting unbiased journalism, the attempt to skewer the president for the separation was obvious and instead of losing faith in the administration, many lost faith in the ability of news organizations to accurately portray the news.

As the president's approval rating continues to rise, the mainstream media has shifted focus from the issue of separation and is waiting for something new to attack the administration with. Russia, Obama-era policies and other "Watergate" style inquiries have failed to damage the president's popularity rating. Instead, the blatant attempts to subvert the news continue to backfire on the journalists and activists as the public is simply losing faith in the media's ability to deliver honest and unbiased accounts.

~ Conservative Zone

*As usual, President Trump has been and is being blamed for things continuing to happen as they happened in President Obama's time in office. It was OK for Obama to do it, but is suddenly horrible for President Trump to continue. President Trump is doing everything possible to correct an impossible situation and make it as good as it can be, but that is NOT enough for those who won't be satisfied no matter what he does. I have come to wonder just how much approval Obama would have gotten if he had been as open concerning his actions as President Trump has been. What am I thinking??? Those non-thinking Democrats would have thought Obama was wonderful regardless of what he did – because they are **NOT THINKING**.*

Subject: Our arrogance as a nation

PROUD TO BE AMERICAN!!

No other country has given so much!!! Please pass this on.

Sometimes we need a reminder... At each and every one of these listings was a picture of the burial place in each country where American soldiers fought to protect them and lost their lives in the effort — and these Countries have the gall to respond with arrogance. Where would they be if America stayed home?

Our European "arrogance", in alphabetical order:

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. The American Cemetery at Aisne-Marne, France... a total of 2,289 | 9. Henri-Chapelle, Belgium... a total of 7,992 _ |
| 2. The American Cemetery at Ardennes, Belgium... a total of 5,329 | 10. Lorraine, France... a total of 10,489 |
| 3. The American Cemetery at Brittany, France... a total of 4,410 | 11. Luxembourg, Luxembourg... a total of 5,076 |
| 4. Brookwood, England - American Cemetery... a total of 468 | 12. Meuse-Argonne... a total of 14,246 |
| 5. Cambridge, England... a total of 3,812 | 13. Netherlands, Netherlands... a total of 8,301 |
| 6. Epinal, France - American Cemetery... a total of 5,525 | 14. Normandy, France... a total of 9,387 |
| 7. Flanders Field, Belgium... a total of 368 | 15. Oise-Aisne, France... a total of 6,012 |
| 8. Florence, Italy... a total of 4,402 | 16. Rhone, France... a total of 861 _ |
| | 17. Sicily, Italy... a total of 7,861 |
| | 18. Somme, France... a total of 1,844 |
| | 19. St. Mihiel, France... a total of 4153 |
| | 20. Suresnes, France... a total of 1,541 |

Apologize to no one.

Remind them instead of our sacrifice, and do not confuse arrogance with leadership.

The count is 104,366 dead, brave Americans.

And we had to watch former-President Obama, an elected American leader WITH NO CLUE, apologize to Europe and the Middle East that our country is "arrogant"!

("Arrogant" is not the right word to describe America, but then, when did that man ever describe the USA correctly or respectfully? Americans, true Americans, were temporarily blinded to what we needed. I pray our eyes have been opened and we will NOT make such a horrible mistake again. We must continue to elect REAL AMERICANS like President Trump. I like to believe the TEA Party made a difference. We are still here – battling to work with our President to make the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA the Greatest Country this world has ever seen – Great for our own Citizens and GREAT for the World!! To achieve this we must never elect another "Obama"!!! Please Promise me!!)

HOW MANY FRENCH, DUTCH, ITALIANS, BELGIANS AND BRITS ARE BURIED ON OUR SOIL... AFTER DEFENDING US AGAINST OUR ENEMIES?

WE DON'T ASK FOR PRAISE...
Just a plot to lay our fallen warriors to rest.

WE HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO NEED TO APOLOGIZE!
 Americans, forward it! Non-patriotic, delete it!
 Most of those protected don't understand it.
 DO THINK ABOUT THIS.
 THANK YOU

DON'T BUY THE MEDIA HYPE: MUCH OF THE U.K. HAS VERY DIFFERENT TAKE ON TRUMP

Conservative Tribune by **BENJAMIN ARIE** on 7-13-18 at 3:11PM

Media headlines rarely tell the whole story. Sometimes, they might even miss the mark completely — just like they did during President Donald Trump's recent visit to the United Kingdom.

As the president visited perhaps our closest global allies, mainstream news outlets tripped over themselves to paint the visit in a negative light.

The focus was on malcontents, protests and a not-so-giant "Trump baby" balloon that deflated [shortly after it launched](#), much like Hillary Clinton's White House run.

Take this [CNN headline](#), for instance: "London protests send clear message to Trump: You're not welcome." Maybe he should have called himself an illegal immigrant and been accepted with open arms.

"Trump protests: tens of thousands take to streets across UK," [The Guardian](#) declared. If that number was accurate, the newspaper neglected to mention that Britain has a population of about 65 million people. Most apparently had better things to do.

That's the narrative that is being spread by the mainstream media. But is it accurate? Don't be so sure.

"Liberal media voices in the U.S. and U.K. assure their audiences that Brits are overwhelmingly united against Trump and his policies," [Fox News](#) pointed out on Thursday.

"But here in the north of England, particularly among those who supported Brexit — Britain's departure from the European Union — opinions of Trump are far more nuanced, with many even offering strong support of the president," that outlet explained.

That's the part you won't see in most headlines. Yes, just like in America, views about the president are split overseas. Aren't they always? But just like in the United States, a sizable number of people are giving the 45th president a chance.

Do you think the media is exaggerating the anti-Trump sentiment in the U.K.?

"If he came here, I'd shake his hand, absolute brilliant businessman," Dean Roberts, a British shopkeeper, told Fox News' reporter Adam Shaw.

"He's going to upset a lot of people, that's what we want, he's a true leader," the English small businessman continued.

It's well known that Trump "flipped" many Democrat-leaning counties, including some that previously supported Barack Obama, in order to win the presidency. A similar phenomenon seems to have occurred in Britain, where previously liberal areas swung right on the issue of Brexit.

"Barnsley, in South Yorkshire, is one such working-class town which overwhelmingly votes (liberal) Labour," Fox explained.

"But, in 2016, 68 percent of voters voted for Britain to leave the E.U. Perhaps, then, it was not surprising to find a number of residents here with positive views of the president — particularly his dislike for political correctness," the outlet continued.

It didn't seem hard for reporters like Shaw to find pro-Trump voices on the other side of the Atlantic.

"Well done on being so strong and in a powerful role. You've upset some people, but you've also really got some of the community together and feel proud to be American," U.K. citizen Amber Rose Roberts declared as a message to the president.

While Trump's visit is certainly controversial, polls show that half of Britain supports his trip. There could be an even larger "quiet majority" that sides with the president but is hesitant to say so, much like we saw during 2016 pre-election polling.

"A YouGov poll [released](#) Thursday shows 50 percent of Brits support a working (Trump) visit, with only 37 percent suggesting it should be scrapped," Fox reported.

"Most of the guys I speak to around here agree with (Trump)," summarized Eugene Bibby, another British shop owner in Oldham, UK. He pointed to immigration as a winning issue for the American president.

"You've got to control it, haven't you?" Bibby stated. "You can't just allow everyone in."

Others were mindful of the historic friendship between the United States and the United Kingdom, which was tested and made firm during the epic struggles of World War I and II.

“We owe President Trump courtesy to come into our country in the memory of all the thousands and thousands of people who fought in the two world wars on our side,” said James Banister from Burnley.

That’s an important piece of wisdom from the other side of the pond. There’s no denying that Trump can get petty — and so can the media outlets who love to bash him. But there are important ties that rise above bickering and political disagreements.

The friendship between two of the greatest nations in the world is one of them... and if more Brits looked at the big picture and the world stage, they just might come to understand what the larger-than-life president is trying to do.

KANSAS EQUALITY PAC ANNOUNCES KANSAS HOUSE PRIMARY ENDORSEMENTS

*Posted in **Equality Kansas News** by Equality Kansas on July 12, 2018*

Kansas House of Representatives Chamber

Equality Kansas’ political action committee, the Kansas Equality PAC, is today announcing its primary endorsements for Kansas House of Representatives. This will be our final round of endorsement announcements for the August 7 primary election.

Endorsement in the primary is not a guarantee of endorsement for the general election! General election endorsements depend on the outcome of the primary races, and will be re-evaluated and announced in September.

Equality Kansas is non-partisan. Our advocacy work in the Kansas statehouse and city halls across the state requires us to work with elected officials of both parties. When considering candidates for elected office, Equality Kansas examines their public record on LGBT issues. We generally prioritize a candidate’s record in this order:

- Are they a friendly incumbent? In other words, are they the current officeholder running for re-election, and do they have a voting record that is favorable to LGBT rights?
- Are they a current or former office holder, running for a new office? If so, what is their voting record on our issues?
- Is the candidate a member in good standing of Equality Kansas? (Defined as current in their dues and supportive of our mission).
- Is the candidate an “out” member of the LGBT community?
- What kind of responses, if any, have they given on the Equality Kansas candidate questionnaires?
- What verifiable public statements has a candidate offered in support of LGBT equality? (Private assurances of support are not considered).

Last April, Equality Kansas began the process of developing candidate questionnaires for the 2018 election cycle. Questionnaires were then mailed to each declared primary candidate shortly after the June 1 filing deadline, and all candidates were given a June 30 deadline for their return. Questionnaires have not been provided to the independent or Libertarian candidates, who will be given an opportunity to share their views after the August primary election.

As has been our practice since our founding shortly before the 2006 election cycle, Equality Kansas considers a candidate’s voting record to be the most important indicator of their commitment to LGBT rights. Some candidates have 100% positive records, while others are utterly hostile to our community and our mission. Those are easy endorsement decisions to make. The difficult choices come when candidate voting records are mixed. Sometimes an incumbent votes with us, sometimes against. Some bills are worse than others. A candidate may have voted against us long ago, but has since come to understand the importance of LGBT equality and is now a supporter. If they are or have been in a leadership position, especially as a legislative committee chair, they may have used their position to help the LGBT community, or perhaps to harm us.

In a departure from previous practice, the Kansas Equality PAC this year chose to endorse supportive legislators and other candidates even if running unopposed in their party’s primary election or general election. Many of our members and supporters have suggested this change, as it helps educate them and the general public about who supports our community.

A copy of our 2018 gubernatorial and legislative questionnaire is posted on our website at <https://eqks.org/eqks-2018-gubernatorial-and-legislative-questionnaire/>. We do not publish completed questionnaires.

Please note that Equality Kansas evaluates candidates on LGBT-specific issues. As an individual voter, a candidate’s record on equality may be only one among your priorities. Whether or not you agree with our assessments, we hope this information adds to your understanding of the candidates for Kansas governor. Even if you vote differently from our recommendations, get out and vote!



KOBACH RAILS AGAINST ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS AT PRO-ICE RALLY

4:06 pm PDT, Saturday, July 14, 2018

WICHITA, Kan. (AP) — GOP gubernatorial candidate Kris Kobach railed against people in the U.S. illegally at a Wichita rally intended to show support for federal Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

The Wichita Eagle says Kobach blamed those immigrants for everything from stealing American jobs to causing a tuition hike this year at state universities at the Saturday rally that drew only about 80 people. If elected governor, he says he would end in-state tuition for young people brought to the U.S. illegally as children.

Kobach was joined at the rally by his running mate Wink Hartman & Republican state Sen. Ty Masterson of Andover.

Bill Anderson was one of three rally protesters who held signs and shouted at Kobach and other speakers, noting that nearly all of the rally supporters attending were white.

Kobach addressed the three protesters during his time at the microphone, calling them "snowflakes" who express "fake outrage."

Information from: The Wichita (Kan.) Eagle, <http://www.kansas.com>

THE DEMOCRATIC SENATE IMMIGRATION DISASTER | by Newt Gingrich

Republicans have the chance to secure a significant victory in the U.S. Senate this fall – largely because the Democrats' radical immigration positions could lead to their catastrophic downfall.

As more and more Democrats throw their support behind so-called sanctuary cities, abolishing U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), and promoting open borders, more and more Americans are expressing their vehement opposition to these positions.

Most recently, in their fervor over the child detention issue, every Senate Democrat scrambled to co-sponsor Senator Dianne Feinstein's Keep Families Together Act (partly to rebuke the president and partly to appease the growing radical wing of the Democratic party) despite the bill proposing half-baked, open-border policies that very few Americans support.

Gabriel Malor wrote an eye-opening piece for [The Federalist](#) describing how devastating the Feinstein bill would truly be for our country if it were passed. Malor notes that, "Every Senate Democrat has now signed on to cosponsor a bill written so carelessly that it does not distinguish between migrant children at the border and U.S. citizen children already within the United States. The bill further does not distinguish between federal officers handling the border crisis and federal law enforcement pursuing the ordinary course of their duties."

The bill would negatively impact virtually the entire United States because it sloppily defines its geographic scope as "at or near the port of entry or within 100 miles of the border." As Malor pointed out, "That's roughly two-thirds of the U.S. population. Even more live near ports of entry, including in places far from the border crisis, like Salt Lake City, Utah (nearly 700 miles from the nearest border crossing), Tulsa, Oklahoma (more than 600 miles from the nearest border crossing), and Nashville, Tennessee (nearly 600 miles from the nearest border crossing). All major U.S. metropolitan areas fall within either 100 miles of the border or are near a port of entry or both."

A recent [Harvard-Harris Poll](#) conducted by Mark Penn (who is not Republican) clearly shows that most Americans overwhelmingly disagree with the radical Democratic immigration agenda. To illustrate just how wide this gulf is, I've included the below chart that describes the percentage results of Penn's poll by issue.

Harvard-Harris Poll Question	Democratic Stance	Republican Stance
Do you think that people who make it across our border illegally should be allowed to stay in the country or sent home?	Stay 36%	Sent Home 64%
Do you think that parents with children who make it across our border illegally should be allowed to stay in the country or sent home?	Stay 39%	Sent Home 61%
Do you think we need stricter or looser enforcement of our immigration laws?	Looser Enforcement 30%	Stricter Enforcement 70%
Do you think current border security is adequate or inadequate?	Adequate 39%	Inadequate 61%
Do you support or oppose building a combination of physical and electronic barriers across the U.S.-Mexico border?	Oppose 40%	Support 60%
Should cities that arrest illegal immigrants for crimes be required notify immigration authorities they are in custody or be prohibited from notifying immigration authorities?	Pro-Sanctuary Cities (Prohibit Notification) 16%	End Sanctuary Cities (Support Notification) 84%
Do you think notifying immigration authorities when people are arrested for crimes increases crime because it makes immigrants less likely to report crimes or it decreases crime because it takes criminals off the streets?	Notifying ICE Increases Crime 36%	Notifying ICE Decreases Crime 64%
Do you think that the Immigration and Customs Enforcement officers, known as ICE, should be disbanded or not?	Favor abolishing ICE 31%	Oppose abolishing ICE 69%
Would you favor or oppose a Congressional deal that gives undocumented immigrants brought here by their parents work permits and a path to citizenship in exchange for increasing merit preference over preference for relatives, eliminating the diversity visa lottery, and funding barrier security on the U.S.-Mexico border?	Oppose 37%	Favor 63%

The results are clear. Sixty-four percent of registered voters said people who cross the border illegally should be sent back to their home countries. Only 36 percent said they should be allowed to stay. This result doesn't significantly change when children are involved (61 percent to 39 percent).

Additionally, 61 percent of voters said current border security was inadequate – and 70 percent support more strict enforcement of immigration law. An astounding 84 percent of voters said sanctuary city policies should end, and 69 percent oppose the idea of abolishing ICE. These numbers show how radical and out of sync the central planks of Democratic immigration platform truly are.

Byron York with the [Washington Examiner](#) has done an excellent job of describing the disconnect between the views of radical Democrats (including the media) and those of the American people – particularly with regards to the wide American support of President Trump's immigration policies.

I have written a [strategy paper](#) citing the work of York and Malor that describes how Republicans must use the facts about what Americans think about immigration policy and clearly communicate how and why the Republicans are right and the Democrats are wildly wrong.

The elite media is so biased that it is (and will no doubt continue) artificially propping up an increasingly radical Democratic Party while artificially suppressing the issue popularity of the Trump team.

So, the key purpose of the fall 2018 Republican Senate campaign is to communicate how totally unacceptable the radical Democratic views are and how radically they would change America if they succeed.

For example, radical Democrats support for sanctuary cities (and any Democrat who supports sanctuary cities is a radical) is deeply unpopular. Ending sanctuary cities is an 84-16 issue. Furthermore, Americans believe sanctuary cities increase crime by 64 percent to 36 percent. This is something that the House and Senate should vote on as often as possible.

The abolition ICE movement is also a completely loony Democratic idea that is completely rejected by the American public 69 percent to 31 percent. Republicans should point out that ICE has been an important part of combating the Opioid crisis and fighting drug cartels – which is destroying American families and communities across the country. In 2017 alone, the agency seized roughly 2,400 pounds of deadly Fentanyl. Democrats should be made to answer why they think dangerous drugs that are tearing American families apart should be allowed to come in the country.

Every Republican challenger should get a copy of Feinstein's open-borders bill and carry it to every event, press conference, and debate. Democratic incumbents should be forced to explain why they agreed to cosponsor a bill that will gut our ability to enforce immigration laws when 70 percent of Americans support more stringent border enforcement.

Across the board, Republicans range from a high of 84 percent to low of 60 percent for our

immigration positions. These are winning positions. The Democrats cannot win on these issues if Republicans have the courage to ignore the elite media and focus on the American people.

Scalise Digs Up Obama Dirt, Uses It To Completely Destroy Trump's Russia Critics

by REBEKAH BAKER | JULY 18, 2018

As most conservative Americans know, the mainstream media has a very short and selective memory.

As cries of outrage toward President Donald Trump grow louder by the day for his handling of Russian President Vladimir Putin, it's as if liberals and their media allies have completely forgotten what occurred this past decade under President Barack Obama.

Since nobody in the mainstream media will do the work, Rep. Steve Scalise — the congressman who miraculously survived an attack on his life last year — reminded the American people of exactly what took place between Russian and the United States during the Obama years.

“President @realDonaldTrump went into this meeting with Putin from a position of American strength to combat Russian aggression, but it's important to remember how Russia was allowed to get to this point,” Scalise tweeted Monday.

Eight Years of Obama's Weakness Toward Russia

During eight years of former President Barack Obama's weakness towards Russia, the country invaded Ukraine, annexed Crimea, propped up Syrian President Bashar al-Assad, and interfered in the 2016...



If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.
 If you would like to send/submit comments/editorials to the editor – be my guest.
 Send to Sylva's email: sylva@gemsandwood.com

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: www.flinthillsteaparty.com; fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chris or Larry Tawney or Sylva Nichols, editor, email: sylva@gemsandwood.com. Sylva sends the snail mail. Newsletter; Flint Hills TEA Party Snail Mail: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1310-A Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS 66502. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to “Educational Fund”) will also be accepted at this address and is tax deductible or to Flint Hills TEA Party which is not tax deductible.

Disclaimer: The editor of "The Patriots Truth", Flint Hills T.E.A. Party News, challenges everyone of us to continually evaluate statements whether written, verbal, or recorded from all sources whether within this document or from outside sources elsewhere as to their validity. Subjects included within are for encouraging thoughtful consideration and do not necessarily reflect our positions.

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part requires permission given by the persons listed above.